Provided for non-commercial research and education use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

http://www.elsevier.com/copyright

Discrete Mathematics 310 (2010) 3646-3647

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Discrete Mathematics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/disc

A note on a conjecture on maximum matching in almost regular graphs

C. Picouleau

Note

Conservatoire National des Arts et Métiers, CEDRIC Laboratory, Paris, France

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 16 April 2010 Received in revised form 30 August 2010 Accepted 1 September 2010 Available online 28 September 2010 Mkrtchyan, Petrosyan, and Vardanyan made the following conjecture: Every graph *G* with $\Delta(G) - \delta(G) \leq 1$ has a maximum matching whose unsaturated vertices do not have a common neighbor. We disprove this conjecture.

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Bipartite graph Matching Regular graph

Mkrtchyan et al. [1] conjectured that every nearly regular graph *G* (that is, with $\Delta(G) - \delta(G) \leq 1$) has a maximum matching whose unsaturated vertices do not have a common neighbor. As usual, $\Delta(G)$ and $\delta(G)$ denote the maximum and minimum degrees of vertices in *G*. We present an example disproving this conjecture; it is the graph *G* in Fig. 1.



Fig. 1. The graph G.

Observe that *G* is bipartite, with eight white vertices and ten black vertices. Each black vertex has degree 4, and each white vertex has degree 5. Since *G* is unbalanced, there is no perfect matching, and the edges matching 2i - 1 to 2i for $1 \le i \le 8$ form a maximum matching. Note that vertices 17 and 18 have the same neighborhood: {1, 5, 9, 13}.

E-mail address: christophe.picouleau@cnam.fr.

⁰⁰¹²⁻³⁶⁵X/\$ – see front matter © 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.disc.2010.09.003

C. Picouleau / Discrete Mathematics 310 (2010) 3646-3647

We show that for each maximum matching M of G, the two unsaturated vertices have a common neighbor. Let x and y be the two unsaturated vertices; note that x and y must both be black vertices. In fact, we show that every two black vertices have a common neighbor, so we can ignore the matchings. We list the cases:

- 1. $\{x, y\} = \{17, 18\}$: x and y have four common neighbors.
- 2. $x \in \{17, 18\}, y \notin \{17, 18\}$: y is adjacent to 1, 5, 9, or 13.
- 3. $x, y \notin \{17, 18\}$: If *x* and *y* differ by 2 (modulo 16), then the vertex between them on the outer cycle is a common neighbor. We list the other pairs as (x, y, z), where *z* is a common neighbor of *x* and *y*, in increasing order of the distance between the labels of *x* and *y*: (2, 6, 15), (4, 8, 7), (6, 10, 11), (8, 12, 11), (10, 14, 9), (12, 16, 11), (14, 2, 15), (16, 4, 3), (2, 8, 15), (4, 10, 3), (6, 12, 7), (8, 14, 9), (10, 16, 11), (12, 2, 3), (14, 4, 5), (16, 6, 11), (2, 10, 3), (4, 12, 3), (6, 14, 15), (8, 16, 15).

Hence the conjecture is false when G is bipartite with maximum degree 5 and minimum degree 4. The question remains open for 4-regular graphs with $r \ge 4$. For bipartite regular graphs, the statement holds trivially, since every such graph has a perfect matching.

Acknowledgement

The author wishes to express gratitude to the Editor, who has improved the English of the paper.

References

[1] V. Mkrtchyan, S. Petrosyan, G. Vardanyan, On disjoint matchings in cubic graphs, Discrete Mathematics 310 (2010) 1588–1613.