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#### Abstract

An extension of the basic image reconstruction problem in discrete tomography is considered: given a graph $G=(V, E)$ and a family $\mathcal{P}$ of chains $P_{i}$ together with vectors $h\left(P_{i}\right)=\left(h_{i}^{1}, \ldots, h_{i}^{k}\right)$, one wants to find a partition $V^{1}, \ldots, V^{k}$ of $V$ such that for each $P_{i}$ and each color $j,\left|V^{j} \cap P_{i}\right|=$ $h_{i}^{j}$. An interpretation in terms of scheduling is presented. We consider special cases of graphs and identify polynomially solvable cases; general complexity results are established in this case and also in the case where $V^{1}, \ldots, V^{k}$ is required to be a proper vertex $k$-coloring of $G$. Finally we examine also the case of (proper) edge $k$-colorings and determine its complexity status.
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## 1 Introduction

Discrete tomography deals with the reconstruction of discrete objects from their projections.

The reader is referred to the book of Hermann and Kuba [15] for an overview of problems in discrete tomography.

Here we shall consider a graph coloring problem which generalizes a basic image reconstruction problem in discrete tomography defined below.

We are given a connected graph $G=(V, E)$ and a collection $\mathcal{P}$ of $p$ subsets $P_{i}$ of vertices of $G$. We are also given a set of colors $1,2, \ldots, k$ as well as a collection $H$ of $p$ vectors $h\left(P_{i}\right)=\left(h_{i}^{1}, \ldots, h_{i}^{k}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{k}(i=1, \ldots p)$.

We have to find a $k$-partition $V^{1}, V^{2}, \ldots, V^{k}$ of $V$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{i} \bigcap V^{j}\right|=h_{i}^{j} \quad \text { for all } i \leq p \text { and all } j \leq k \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This problem will be called $\Lambda(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$. It is clear that in this formulation the structure of $G$ plays no role.

We shall from now on consider a family of chains $\mu_{i}$ in $G$; we will denote by $P_{i}$ the (ordered) set of vertices in $\mu_{i}$ and the length of $\mu_{i}$ will be $\left|P_{i}\right|$. Whenever no confusion may arise, we shall identify $\mu_{i}$ with its vertex set $P_{i}$. We will then call $\left|P_{i}\right|$ the length of $P_{i}$. In the case where the structure of $G$ plays no role, it is not restrictive to start from chains $\mu_{i}$ (instead of arbitrary subsets $P_{i}$ of vertices as above): we can indeed link the vertices of a $P_{i}$ to form a chain $\mu_{i}$.

The $k$-partition need not be a coloring of $G$ where adjacent vertices have different colors. We will talk indifferently of $k$-partition or $k$-coloring to describe a partition of the vertex set into $k$ subsets (color classes); whenever we will have the usual requirement of having different colors on adjacent nodes, we will call this a proper $k$-coloring. The corresponding reconstruction problem associated to proper $k$-colorings will be denoted $\Lambda^{*}(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$.

Let us now consider the special case where $G=(V, E)$ is a grid graph; its vertex set is $V=\left\{x_{r s} \mid r=1, \ldots, m ; s=1, \ldots, n\right\}$ and its edge set is

$$
\begin{aligned}
E= & \left\{\left[x_{r s}, x_{r, s+1}\right] \mid s=1, \ldots, n-1 ; r=1, \ldots, m\right\} \bigcup \\
& \left\{\left[x_{r s}, x_{r+1, s}\right] \mid r=1, \ldots, m-1 ; s=1, \ldots, n\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $x_{r s}$ is located in row $r$ and column $s$ of the grid, then by taking for $\mathcal{P}$ the collection of chains $P_{r}=\left\{x_{r 1}, \ldots, x_{r m}\right\}$ for $r=1, \ldots, m$ and $P_{m+s}=$ $\left\{x_{1 s}, \ldots, x_{m s}\right\}$ for $s=1, \ldots, n, \Lambda(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$ is exactly the basic image reconstruction problem in discrete tomography; here $h_{r}^{j}$ (resp. $h_{m+s}^{j}$ ) is the number of occurrences of color $j$ in row $r$ (resp. in column $s$ ) (i.e. $\left(h_{r}^{1}, \ldots, h_{r}^{k}\right)$ and $\left(h_{m+s}^{1}, \ldots, h_{m+s}^{k}\right)$ are the horizontal and the vertical projections, respectively). This problem is also known as colored matrix reconstruction problem.

For $k=2$ the problem consists of reconstructing a $(0,1)$-matrix from its vertical and horizontal projections, i.e., number of occurrences of 1 in each row and in each column; this case is solved in polynomial time [18].

For $k=4$, this problem is $N P$-complete [7]; for $k=3$ the complexity status is open but some special cases were solved in polynomial time [8, 9].

In this paper we will consider some extensions and variations of this basic problem by taking more general classes of graphs $G$ such that trees, bipartite graphs, planar graphs, cacti.

As an application of $\Lambda(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$ let us mention the following problem consisting in scheduling the refurbishment of the stations in a city subway network. The network is represented by a graph $G=(V, E)$ where the vertices are the stations. Each metro line is associated with a chain $P_{i}$. Assuming that the renovation operation of every single station takes one month, we want to schedule these operations while taking into account the following requirements: in month $j$, the number of stations in metro line $P_{i}$ which will be closed for renovation is $h_{i}^{j}$. The problem of assigning a date (month) for the renovation of every station with the above constraints is precisely $\Lambda(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$ if the whole refurbishment has to take place in a period of $k$ months. In some cases, it is desired to avoid closing two consecutive stations along the same metro line; the assignment of dates is then a proper $k$-coloring of the underlying graph $G$ and the problem is $\Lambda^{*}(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$.

In addition to the above mentioned application, our problem may be viewed in a different context related to constraint satisfaction in logic. Essentially we are given a collection of $n$ Boolean variables as well as a collection of clauses $P_{i}$ (each one of them involves a subset of the Boolean variables). It is required to find an assignment of values True or False to each Boolean variable in such a way that in each clause $P_{i}$ the number of variables with value False is exactly (or at most) a given number $h_{i}^{F}$. Notice that here we have a number $k$ of colors which is 2 . The general $k$-coloring case would then correspond to $k$-valued logical variables.

After preliminaries given in Section 2, we will consider the basic problem $\Lambda(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$ in Section 3 with the case $k=2$ (difficult and easy cases) and the general case $k \geq 3$. Then Section 4 will be dedicated to the case of proper colorings, i.e. to $\Lambda^{*}(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$. In Section 5 we will consider line graphs. This amounts to replacing the vertex colorings by edge colorings. Again we will consider general $k$-colorings and also proper $k$-colorings. Finally Section 6 will present a summary of the results obtained in this paper.

## 2 Preliminaries

For graph theoretical terms not defined here, the reader is referred to [3].
In the following we assume that several basic conditions for a solution to exist are verified, in particular $\sum_{j} h_{i}^{j}=\left|P_{i}\right|$, for all $i=1, \ldots, p$. In addition, if we want to determine proper colorings, we have to assume that $h_{i}^{j} \leq\left\lceil\frac{\left|P_{i}\right|}{2}\right\rceil$ for all $i, j$. It follows that there is at most one color such that $h_{i}^{j}=\left\lceil\frac{\left|P_{i}\right|}{2}\right\rceil$ if $\left|P_{i}\right|$ is odd and at most two colors such that $h_{i}^{j}=\frac{\left|P_{i}\right|}{2}$ if $\left|P_{i}\right|$ is even. These colors will
be called saturating for $P_{i}$.
We need some more definitions and notations for $\mathcal{P}$. For a family $\mathcal{P}=\left(P_{i} \mid\right.$ $i=1, \ldots, p$ ) of subsets $P_{i}$ of a set $V$, we call cover index of $\mathcal{P}$ and denote by $c(\mathcal{P})$ the maximum number of members of $\mathcal{P}$ which may cover a single element of $V$ (i.e. which have a non empty intersection).

For instance in the basic reconstruction problem of discrete tomography we have $c(\mathcal{P})=2$.

A family $\mathcal{P}=\left(P_{i} \mid i=1, \ldots, p\right)$ of subsets $P_{i}$ of a set $V$ is called nested if for any $P_{i}, P_{f} \in \mathcal{P}$, we have either $P_{i} \subseteq P_{f}$ or $P_{f} \subseteq P_{i}$ or $P_{i} \bigcap P_{f}=\emptyset$.

Consider now a partition of $\mathcal{P}$ into nested families. One can look for a partition into the smallest possible number of nested families. This number, denoted by $\operatorname{Nest}(\mathcal{P})$, is called the nesticity of $\mathcal{P}$.

Fact 2.1 [12] One can determine in polynomial time if for a family $\mathcal{P}$ we have $\operatorname{Nest}(\mathcal{P}) \leq 2$.

Proof of fact 2.1: Assign a vertex to each $P_{i} \in \mathcal{P}$ and link by an edge $P_{i}$ and $P_{f}$ whenever $P_{i} \bigcap P_{f} \neq \emptyset, P_{i} \nsubseteq P_{f}$ and $P_{f} \nsubseteq P_{i}$. The resulting graph is bipartite iff $\operatorname{Nest}(\mathcal{P}) \leq 2$.

Observe that $c(\mathcal{P})$ and $\operatorname{Nest}(\mathcal{P})$ are unrelated: we may have $c(\mathcal{P})>\operatorname{Nest}(\mathcal{P})$ or $c(\mathcal{P})<\operatorname{Nest}(\mathcal{P})$. In fact, for $\mathcal{P}=(\{a, b\},\{a, c\},\{b, c\})$, we have $c(\mathcal{P})=$ $2, \operatorname{Nest}(\mathcal{P})=3$ and for $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=(\{a, b, c\},\{a, b\})$, we have $c\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)=2, \operatorname{Nest}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)=1$.

## 3 Arbitrary colorings

In this section we establish some complexity results and we exhibit some cases which can be solved in polynomial time for $\Lambda(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$.

Notice that whenever the $k$-colorings are not required to be proper, we can assume that for each edge $e$ there is at least one chain $\mu_{i}$ which uses $e$; otherwise the edge can be removed. Notice that it may happen that we get a disconnected graph; in such a case the problem is decomposed.

We shall start with the case where we have $k=2$ colors.

### 3.1 Difficult problems for $k=2$

Let us first give two statements which do not refer to the nature of the underlying graph $G$.

Theorem 3.1 $\Lambda(G, 2, \mathcal{P}, H)$ is $N P$-complete if $\mathcal{P}$ is a 3-uniform family $\left(\left|P_{i}\right|=3\right.$ for $\left.i=1, \ldots, p\right)$ which is 3-regular (each vertex is in exactly three $\left.P_{i}^{\prime} s\right)$.

Proof: We use a reduction from $X 3 C$ (exact cover by 3-sets) which is known to be $N P$-complete [11]. In $\mathcal{P}$ one has to find a set of disjoint $P_{i}^{\prime} s$ which
cover exactly the ground set. Or equivalently, we have to find a set $S$ of vertices such that each $P_{i}$ contains exactly one vertex of $S$.
Let $h_{i}^{1}=1, h_{i}^{2}=2$ for each $P_{i}$; then there is a partition $V^{1}, V^{2}$ of the ground set satisfying (1) if and only if there is a set $S$ of vertices containing exactly one vertex of each $P_{i}$. In this case $S=V^{1}$ and the other vertices form $V^{2}$.

Theorem 3.2 $\Lambda(G, 2, \mathcal{P}, H)$ is $N P$-complete if $\operatorname{Nest}(\mathcal{P})=3$.
Proof: We use a transformation of the 3-dimensional matching problem which is known to be $N P$-complete [11]. To state a 3 -dimensional matching problem, we introduce a collection of points with coordinates $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in\{1,2, \ldots, q\}$ and 3 families formed by all disjoint chains parallel to the coordinate axes; this gives $\mathcal{P}$ with $\operatorname{Nest}(\mathcal{P})=3=c(\mathcal{P})$.

We set $h_{i}^{1}=1, h_{i}^{2}=\left|P_{i}\right|-1$ for each $P_{i}$ in $\mathcal{P}$. Then there exists a matching of size $q$ if and only if there exists a partition $V^{1}, V^{2}$ of the set of points which satisfies (1).

Notice that it follows from this transformation that $\Lambda(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$ remains $N P$-complete for $k=2$ and $c(\mathcal{P})=3$.

Theorem 3.3 $\Lambda(G, 2, \mathcal{P}, H)$ is $N P$-complete when $G$ is bipartite of maximum degree $\leq 4$ and each color occurs at most 3 times in each $P_{i}\left(h_{i}^{j} \leq 3, \forall i=\right.$ $1, \ldots, p, \forall j=1,2)$ and $\left|P_{i} \bigcap P_{f}\right| \leq 1$ for all $1 \leq i, f \leq p(i \neq f)$.

Proof: The transformation is from the $N P$-complete problem $O N E-$ IN - THREE 3SAT which is defined as follows [11]:

INSTANCE: A set $U$ of variables, a collection $C$ of clauses over $U$ such that each clause $c \in C$ has $|c|=3$ variables.

QUESTION: Is there a truth assignment for $U$ such that each clause in $C$ has exactly one true literal ?

This problem is also NP-complete in the case where there is no negated literal.

We build a graph by associating with each variable $x$ occurring $s$ times vertices $x_{1}, x_{12}, x_{2}, x_{23}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{s-1, s}, x_{s}$ and edges $\left[x_{1}, x_{12}\right],\left[x_{12}, x_{2}\right],\left[x_{2}, x_{23}\right], \ldots$, [ $x_{s-1, s}, x_{s}$ ]. For each clause $c_{l}=\{x, y, z\}$ we know the number of occurrences of its variables in clauses $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{l-1}$; so assume $c_{l}=\left\{x_{d}, y_{e}, z_{f}\right\}$ which means that in $c_{l} x$ has its $d^{t h}$ occurrence, $y$ its $e^{t h}$ occurrence and $z$ its $f^{t h}$. We introduce vertices $u_{l}$ and $w_{l}$ with edges $\left[x_{d}, u_{l}\right],\left[u_{l}, y_{e}\right],\left[y_{e}, w_{l}\right],\left[w_{l}, z_{f}\right]$. Clearly the graph obtained is bipartite. Now we define $\mathcal{P}$.
For each variable $x$, each edge $\left[x_{1}, x_{12}\right],\left[x_{12}, x_{2}\right], \ldots,\left[x_{s-1, s}, x_{s}\right]$ becomes a chain $P_{i}^{\prime}$ with $h\left(P_{i}^{\prime}\right)=(1,1)$. For each clause $c_{l}=\left\{x_{d}, y_{e}, z_{f}\right\}$ we introduce a chain $P_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{x_{d}, u_{l}, y_{e}, w_{l}, z_{f}\right\}$ with $h\left(P_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)=(3,2)$ and also chains $P_{l}^{*}=\left\{u_{l}\right\}, P_{l}^{* *}=$ $\left\{w_{l}\right\}$ with $h\left(P_{l}^{*}\right)=h\left(P_{l}^{* *}\right)=(1,0)$.
The family $\mathcal{P}$ of chains obtained verifies clearly $\left|P_{i} \bigcap P_{f}\right| \leq 1$ for all $i, f \leq p$ $(i \neq f)$. Furthermore no vertex of $G$ has degree more than four.

If an instance of $O N E-I N-T H R E E 3 S A T$ has answer 'yes', then assigning color 1 to vertices $u_{l}, w_{l}$ (for all $l$ ) and to $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{s}$ if variable $x$ is 'true' or to $x_{12}, x_{23}, \ldots, x_{s-1, s}$ otherwise and giving color 2 to the remaining vertices gives a positive answer to the corresponding instance $\Lambda(G, 2, \mathcal{P}, H)$. Conversely if an instance of $\Lambda(G, 2, \mathcal{P}, H)$ is positive, then all vertices $u_{l}, w_{l}$ (for all $l$ ) have color 1, so for each chain $P_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{x_{d}, u_{l}, y_{e}, w_{l}, z_{f}\right\}$ there is exactly one vertex in $\left\{x_{d}, y_{e}, z_{f}\right\}$ with color 1. Furthermore from the requirements on the chains $P_{i}^{\prime}$, for each variable $x$, all vertices $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{s}$ have the same color. So assigning the value 'true' to $x$ if $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{s}$ have color 1 or value 'false' otherwise we get a positive answer to $O N E-I N-T H R E E 3 S A T$.

Theorem 3.4 $\Lambda(G, 2, \mathcal{P}, H)$ is $N P$-complete when $G$ is a tree with maximum degree 3 .

Proof: Again, we reduce from the $N P$-complete problem $O N E-I N-T H R E E$ $3 S A T$ with no negated literal, already defined. We denote by $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\nu}$ the variables and by $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\alpha}$ the clauses. We construct a tree as follows: there is a main path $\Pi$ with $\nu+\alpha$ vertices. Each one of the $\nu$ first vertices of $\Pi$ is linked by an edge to a leaf, the $i^{t h}$ leaf being labeled by $x_{i}$ (we shall speak of a variable leaf). Each one of the $\alpha$ next vertices of $\Pi$ is linked to a clause gadget (so that, in our tree, there is one gadget for each clause): the gadget for a clause $c_{h}=x_{i} \vee x_{j} \vee x_{k}$ is a tree with 5 vertices (labeled $a_{h}, b_{h}, x_{i}, x_{j}$ and $x_{k}$ ), $x_{i}, x_{j}$ and $x_{k}$ being the 3 leaves, and $a_{h}$ being linked to $\Pi$ by an edge. The edges inside the gadget are $\left[a_{h}, x_{i}\right],\left[a_{h}, b_{h}\right],\left[b_{h}, x_{j}\right]$ and $\left[b_{h}, x_{k}\right]$ (see Fig. 1 for an example). Note that the tree constructed so far has maximum degree 3.


Figure 1: The tree constructed for the instance $\left(x_{1} \vee x_{2} \vee x_{3}\right) \wedge\left(x_{2} \vee x_{3} \vee x_{4}\right)$
It remains to describe the collection $\mathcal{P}$. First, in the gadget of clause $c_{h}=x_{i} \vee$ $x_{j} \vee x_{k}$, there is a chain $P_{h}=\left\{a_{h}\right\}$ with $h\left(P_{h}\right)=(1,0)$, a chain $P_{h}^{\prime}=\left\{x_{i}, a_{h}, b_{h}\right\}$ with $h\left(P_{h}^{\prime}\right)=(2,1)$, and a chain $P_{h}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{x_{j}, b_{h}, x_{k}\right\}$ with $h\left(P_{h}^{\prime \prime}\right)=(1,2)$. Then, the path $\Pi$ is a chain in $\mathcal{P}$ with $h(\Pi)=(\nu+\alpha, 0)$. Eventually, for each occurrence of a variable $x_{i}$ in a clause $c_{r}$ there is a chain from the variable leaf $i$ to the leaf $x_{i}$ in the clause gadget of $c_{r}$. Let us denote by $P_{i}^{r}$ this chain. If the leaf $x_{i}$ in the clause gadget of $c_{r}$ is linked to $a_{r}$ then we have $h\left(P_{i}^{r}\right)=\left(\left|P_{i}^{r}\right|-1,1\right)$ else we have $h\left(P_{i}^{r}\right)=\left(\left|P_{i}^{r}\right|-2,2\right)$.

Now, the important point is that, because of all the chains of the form $P_{h}$, $P_{h}^{\prime}$ and $P_{h}^{\prime \prime}$, there are only 3 ways of coloring each clause gadget (see Fig. 2: black vertices have color 1, white vertices have color 2).


Figure 2: The 3 possible colorings for the clause gadget of $c_{h}=x_{i} \vee x_{j} \vee x_{k}$
Moreover, because of all the chains of the form $P_{i}^{r}$, given one of the 3 possible colorings of the clause gadget of $c_{h}=x_{i} \vee x_{j} \vee x_{k}$, one and only one of the variable leaves labeled $x_{i}, x_{j}$ and $x_{k}$ has color 1: $x_{i}$ in the coloring of Fig. 2(a), $x_{j}$ in the coloring of Fig. 2(b), $x_{k}$ in the coloring of Fig. 2(c). Hence, given a solution for $\Lambda(G, 2, \mathcal{P}, H)$ on this instance, we can easily obtain a solution for the associated satisfiability instance, by assigning true to variables whose variable leaves have color 1 and false to the others. Conversely, given a truth assignment, assign color 1 to variable leaves associated with true variables and color 2 to the others, and color each clause gadget with respect to the only variable equal to true in the associated clause. It follows from the above discussion that we obtain a valid coloring.

In the above construction, by contracting $\Pi$ into a single vertex $v$, and all the $a_{h}$ into $v$ (i.e., $a_{1}=\ldots=a_{\alpha}=v$ ) we obtain :

Theorem 3.5 $\Lambda(G, 2, \mathcal{P}, H)$ is $N P$-complete in trees of diameter at most 4 when $\left|P_{i}\right| \leq 4$ for each $P_{i}$ in $\mathcal{P}, h_{i}^{j} \leq 3(i \leq p, j=1,2)$ and $\left|P_{i} \cap P_{f}\right| \leq 2$ for each $P_{i}$ and $P_{f}\left(P_{i} \neq P_{f}\right)$ in $\mathcal{P}(i, f \leq p)$.

### 3.2 Polynomially solvable cases with $k=2$

We recall that the basic image reconstruction problem in discrete tomography is polynomially solvable for $k=2$ when the $P_{i}^{\prime} s$ are the rows and the columns of the associated grid graph $G$. Remember that in this special case we have $c(\mathcal{P})=2$.

More generally, we can state:
Theorem 3.6 $\Lambda(G, 2, \mathcal{P}, H)$ is polynomially solvable if $c(\mathcal{P})=2$.
Proof: We construct a multigraph $G^{\prime}$ as follows: Assign a vertex $P_{i}$ to each chain $P_{i}$ in $\mathcal{P}$. Each vertex of $G$, which is in $P_{i}$ and in $P_{f}$ is represented by an
edge in $G^{\prime}$ between $P_{i}$ and $P_{f}$. Each vertex, which is covered by a unique $P_{i}$ is associated to an edge in $G^{\prime}$ between vertex $P_{i}$ and a new vertex $P_{i}^{\prime}$. So there is a one-to-one correspondence between the vertices of $G$ and the edges of $G^{\prime}$.

Then a solution, if there is one, will correspond to a subset $F$ of edges of $G^{\prime}$ such that for each vertex $P_{i}, F$ has $h_{i}^{1}$ edges adjacent to $P_{i}$ (there is no restriction for the vertices $P_{i}^{\prime}$ ).

In $G^{\prime}$, the edges of $F$ will give $V^{1}$ in $G$ and the edges not in $F$ will correspond to $V^{2}$ in $G$. There are polynomial algorithms (see [16]) to construct such subsets $F$ if they exist or to decide that there is no solution.

One can derive the following from results in [12].
Theorem 3.7 $\Lambda(G, 2, \mathcal{P}, H)$ is polynomially solvable if $\operatorname{Nest}(\mathcal{P})=2$.
Proof: Starting from the inclusion tree of each one of the two nested families covering $\mathcal{P}$, one can build a network flow model where a compatible integral flow will define the subset $V^{1} \subseteq V$ and $V^{2}=V-V^{1}$ will be obtained immediately as shown in [12].

Assume $\mathcal{P}$ can be decomposed into nested subfamilies $A$ and $B$. We represent both families by the inclusion tree of their subsets $P_{i}$. A source $a$ (resp. a sink $b$ ) is linked to all maximal (inclusionwise) subsets of $A$ (resp. B). We link each $l \in V$ to the unique minimal subset $A_{r}$ of $A$ (resp. $B_{s}$ of $B$ ) which contains $l$ by an $\operatorname{arc}\left(A_{r}, l\right)$ (resp. $\left.\left(l, B_{s}\right)\right)$. The network is obtained by orienting all remaining edges from $a$ to $b$. The arc entering (resp. leaving) each $P_{i}$ in $A$ (resp. $B$ ) has a capacity and a lower bound of flow equal to $h_{i}^{1}$. The arcs adjacent to the vertices corresponding to the elements of $V$ have capacity 1 and a lower bound of flow equal to 0 .

In Figure 3 an example is given for a set $V=\{1,2, \ldots, 7\}$ and a family $\mathcal{P}$ with $\operatorname{Nest}(\mathcal{P})=2$. Here $A=(\{1,2\},\{3,4,5\},\{6,7\})$ and $B=(\{1,3,6\},\{2,4\},\{5,7\}$, $\{1,3,5,6,7\})$. The values $h_{i}^{1}$ are shown in brackets.


Figure 3: The network associated with a family $\mathcal{P}$ with $\operatorname{Nest}(\mathcal{P})=2$

There is a one-to-one correspondence between the feasible integral flows from $a$ to $b$ and the subset $V^{1}$ of vertices in a coloring $\left(V^{1}, V^{2}\right)$ satisfying the requirements.

Theorem 3.8 Let $G$ be an arbitrary graph and $\mathcal{P}$ a family of chains $P_{i}$ such that any $P_{i}$ has at most two vertices belonging to some other chains of $\mathcal{P}$. Then $\Lambda(G, 2, \mathcal{P}, H)$ can be solved in polynomial time.

Proof: We shall transform the problem into a $2 S A T$ problem which is known to be polynomially solvable [2].
We associate a binary variable $x$ to every vertex of $G$ which belongs to at least two chains $P_{i}$. Notice that we may assume that $\min \left\{h_{i}^{1}, h_{i}^{2}\right\} \geq 1, i \leq$ $p$, otherwise there is only one color occurring in $P_{i}$ and the problem can be reduced. We first remove all vertices which belong to exactly one $P_{i}$ (these will be considered later). Now each $P_{i}$ contains one or two vertices. For each $P_{i}$ which has exactly two vertices, say $x, y$, which belong to other chains, we write a clause $c_{i}$ as follows.
If $h_{i}^{1}=2, h_{i}^{2}=1$, we set $c_{i}=x \bigvee y$ (this means that at least one of the vertices $x, y$ must have color one) and if $h_{i}^{1}=1, h_{i}^{2}=2$, we set $c_{i}=\bar{x} \bigvee \bar{y}$ (at least one of $x, y$ must have color 2 ). If $\min \left\{h_{i}^{1}, h_{i}^{2}\right\} \geq 2$, we do nothing (since $x$ and $y$ can get any color). Finally when $h_{i}^{1}=h_{i}^{2}=1$, we introduce a constraint $x=\bar{y}$ (because $x$ and $y$ must get different colors). For any $P_{i}$ which has exactly one vertex belonging to more than one chain in $\mathcal{P}$, we do nothing since by assumption $\left(\min \left\{h_{i}^{1}, h_{i}^{2}\right\} \geq 1\right)$ this vertex can have any color. We define $\mathcal{C}=\bigwedge_{i=1}^{q} c_{i}$ and using the equality constraints $x=\bar{y}$ we may substitute variable $\bar{y}$ to variable $x$. We are left with a $2 S A T$ instance. It has a solution if and only if $\Lambda(G, 2, \mathcal{P}, H)$ has a solution.
From a solution of $2 S A T$, we derive a partition $V^{1}, V^{2}$ of the vertices associated to the binary variables. The bicoloring $V^{1}, V^{2}$ of the vertices of $G$ belonging to more than one chain of $\mathcal{P}$ is given by $V^{1}=\{v \mid v$ is true $\}, V^{2}=\{v \mid v$ is false $\}$. For each $P_{i}$ it is possible to assign color 1 or 2 to the uncolored yet vertices so that the number of occurrences of color $j$ is $h_{i}^{j}$ (for $j=1,2$ ). This will provide the required coloring of $G$.
Conversely if $\Lambda(G, 2, \mathcal{P}, H)$ has a solution, then by setting $x=$ true (resp. $x=$ false) for all variables corresponding to the vertices $x$ which are in more than one chain and have color 1 (resp. color 2 ), we will satisfy all clauses in $\mathcal{C}$ (as well as the equality constraints).

Theorem 3.9 If $G=(V, U)$ is a tree where all arcs have an orientation and each $P_{i} \in \mathcal{P}$ is an oriented path, then $\Lambda(G, 2, \mathcal{P}, H)$ can be solved in polynomial time.

Proof: Notice that the incidence matrix (paths $\times$ vertices) of such a graph is totally unimodular. So if we write the system $A \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{O} \leq \boldsymbol{x} \leq \boldsymbol{1}$ where
$a_{i v}=1$ if path $P_{i}$ contains vertex $v$ (or $a_{i v}=0$ else) and $b_{i}=h_{i}^{1}$, then we may check in polynomial time with a linear programming solver whether the system has a solution; if it is the case there is an integral solution (since $A$ is totally unimodular) which gives $V^{1}$, and $V^{2}=V-V^{1}$ which form a partition of $V$ satisfying all requirements.

### 3.3 The case $k \geq 3$

Let us first consider the special case where all $P_{i}$ 's have size $\left|P_{i}\right| \leq 2$.
Theorem 3.10 For any graph $G$ and any $\mathcal{P}$ such that every $\left|P_{i}\right| \leq 2, \Lambda(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$ can be solved in polynomial time.

Proof: Consider $\Lambda(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$. Eliminate all $P_{i}$ 's such that $h_{i}^{j}=2$ for some color $j \leq k$ (these have a unique coloring) and apply the reductions implied by these eliminations. We also apply the reductions due to chains $P_{i}$ with $\left|P_{i}\right|=1$. Consider a pair $P_{i}, P_{j}$ with $\left|P_{i} \cap P_{j}\right|=1$. Let $\Pi_{l}$ be the set of colors $j$ with $h_{l}^{j}>0$. If $\Pi_{i} \cap \Pi_{j}=\emptyset$, there is no solution; if $\left|\Pi_{i} \cap \Pi_{j}\right|=1$, then assign this color to the vertex in $P_{i} \cap P_{j}$ and the rest of $P_{i}, P_{j}$ is also determined. We apply these reductions until either we get a contradiction or we have a collection of connected components $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{r}$ where in each connected component all $P_{i}$ 's have the same set $\Pi_{i}$ of possible colors (remember that $\left|P_{i}\right|=2$ and $\left|\Pi_{i}\right|=2$ ). Then our problem has a solution if and only if every connected component is bipartite.

For the case where the number of colors is $k=3$, we have the following:
Theorem 3.11 $\Lambda(G, 3, \mathcal{P}, H)$ is $N P$-complete when $\left|P_{i}\right|=3, h_{i}^{j}=1$ for $i=$ $1, \ldots, p, j=1,2,3$ and $c(\mathcal{P})=2$.

Proof: We use a transformation from edge 3-coloring of a 3-regular graph $G^{\prime}$. This problem is known to be $N P$-complete [13].

We will construct a graph $G$ and a family $\mathcal{P}$ of chains in $G$. We will associate a chain $P_{i}$ in $G$ to each vertex $w_{i}$ of $G^{\prime}$; each edge $\left[w_{i}, w_{f}\right]$ of $G^{\prime}$ is associated with a vertex $v_{i f} \equiv v_{f i}$ of $V(G) . P_{i}$ will be a chain in $G$ containing the three vertices corresponding to the three edges adjacent to $w_{i}$ in $G^{\prime}$. If in $G^{\prime}$ vertex $w_{i}$ is adjacent to $w_{r}, w_{s}, w_{t}(r<s<t)$ then in $G P_{i}=\left\{v_{i r}, v_{i s}, v_{i t}\right\}$ and the corresponding chain will be formed by edges $\left[v_{i r}, v_{i s}\right],\left[v_{i s}, v_{i t}\right]$.

We set $h_{i}^{j}=1$ for $i=1, \ldots, p$ and $j=1,2,3$. Then there is an edge 3 coloring of $G^{\prime}$ iff there is a partition $V^{1}, V^{2}, V^{3}$ of $V(G)$ such that for each $P_{i},\left|P_{i} \bigcap V^{j}\right|=1=h_{i}^{j}$ for any $i, j$.

Theorem 3.11 is best possible since from Theorem 3.10 the problem is easy when $\left|P_{i}\right| \leq 2$ for all $i \leq p$.

Remark 3.1 According to Brooks theorem (see [3]), the chromatic number $\chi(G)$ of a 3-regular connected graph $G$ is 3 unless $G$ is either a clique on four nodes (in which case $\chi(G)=4$ ) or a bipartite graph (in which case $\chi(G)=2$ ). Since edge 3-coloring is NP-complete in 3-regular graphs [13], we can state: edge 3-coloring in a 3-regular graph $G$ is $N P$-complete even if $\chi(G)=3$.
Conversely, note that if a connected graph $G$ is edge 3-colorable then $\Delta(G) \leq 3$ and thus either $G$ is a clique on four nodes or $\chi(G) \leq 3$.

### 3.4 The case where $G$ is a chain or a tree and $k>2$

We will now consider $\Lambda(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$ where $G$ is a tree, each $P_{i}$ is a chain of $G$ and furthermore for any two chains $P_{i}, P_{f}$ in $\mathcal{P}$ we have $\left|P_{i} \bigcap P_{f}\right| \leq 1$. In such a case we have the following:

Lemma 3.1 If $G$ is a tree and if the family $\mathcal{P}$ of chains of $G$ satisfies $\left|P_{i} \bigcap P_{f}\right| \leq$ 1 for all $i, f \leq p$, then there is an order (which we call canonical order) of chains such that for any $q>1$

$$
\left|P_{q} \bigcap\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{q-1} P_{i}\right)\right| \leq 1
$$

Proof: Notice first that we can assume $\left|P_{i}\right| \geq 2$ for each $i \leq p$. This implies that we cannot have $P_{i} \subset P_{f}$ for any $i, f \leq p(i \neq f)$. Now $G$ has a pendent vertex contained in exactly one chain $P_{i}$ of $\mathcal{P}$. This chain will be called $P_{1}$; we remove it from $\mathcal{P}$ as well as all vertices belonging to $P_{1}$ only. Now we can find another pendent vertex of the remaining tree $G^{\prime}$ and this determines $P_{2}$. We will thus find a numbering of the chains of $\mathcal{P}$ which satisfies the requirements.

We will describe below an algorithm for solving $\Lambda(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$ in a tree $G=T$; in this procedure (called FFC) we will have to determine for each vertex of $T$ the "forced" colors as well as the "forbidden" colors; such a procedure will also be able to detect contradictions in the data which imply that no solution exists. A color $c$ is said to be forced (resp. forbidden) for a vertex $v$ if there exists no feasible solution where $v$ has a color $c^{\prime} \neq c$ (resp. where $v$ has color $c$ ).
The procedure FFC which makes a repeated use of a maximum flow in a bipartite graph can be sketched as follows.

Procedure FFC (Forced and Forbidden Colors): Let us consider a chain $P_{i}$ and let us denote by $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\nu}$ the vertices in $P_{i}$. Let $\Pi_{i}$ be the set of colors required in $P_{i}: \Pi_{i}=\left\{j \mid h_{i}^{j}>0\right\}$. For each vertex $x_{l}, l=1, \ldots, \nu, \pi_{l}$ denotes the set of possible colors for $x_{l}$, i.e. $\pi_{l}=\bigcap_{i \mid x_{l} \in P_{i}} \Pi_{i}$.
We construct the following bipartite graph $G=(X, Y, E)$ with $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\nu}\right\}$, $Y=\Pi_{i}$ and $\left[x_{l}, j\right] \in E$ iff $j \in \pi_{l}$; the capacity of $\left[x_{l}, j\right]$ is equal to 1 . To get a network $N$, we add a source $s$ with an arc of capacity 1 from $s$ to each vertex in
$X$ and a sink $t$ with an arc from each vertex $j$ in $Y$ to $t$; the capacity of $(j, t)$ is equal to $h_{i}^{j}$ for all $j \in Y$. Any integral flow from $s$ to $t$ saturating the arcs out of $s$ gives a possible coloring of the vertices in $P_{i}$. To any edge $\left[x_{l}, j\right] \in E$ which is saturated in every maximum flow corresponds a forced color $j$ for $x_{l}$. To any edge $\left[x_{l}, j\right] \in E$ with a flow equal to 0 in every maximum flow corresponds a color $j$ forbidden for $x_{l}$.
Note that it is easy to determine all the edges saturated (resp. with no flow) in every maximum flow. For each edge $\left[x_{l}, j\right]$ in $E$, suppress $\left[x_{l}, j\right]$ (resp. force a flow from $s$ to $t$ through $\left[x_{l}, j\right]$ ) and compute a new maximum flow in the obtained network. If the value of this flow is lower than the original maximum flow, then $\left[x_{l}, j\right]$ is saturated (resp. with no flow) in every maximum flow.

Procedure FFC either finds the forbidden colors or a forced color for a vertex $v$ or concludes that there is no more forbidden color nor forced color. If the set $\pi_{v}$ of possible colors for $v$ is $\pi_{v}=\{1, \ldots, k\}$ initially for each vertex $v$, we notice that finding a forced color $c$ for $v$ reduces $\pi_{v}$ to a set $\pi_{v}=\{c\}$ and finding the forbidden colors $c_{i_{1}}, \ldots, c_{i_{q}}$ for $v$ replaces $\pi_{v}$ by $\pi_{v}=\pi_{v}-\left\{c_{i_{1}}, \ldots, c_{i_{q}}\right\}$.
Since we will apply FFC as long as forbidden or forced colors can be found, it will be called at most $|V| k$ times.
Clearly we remove all vertices which have a forced color and we update the values $h_{i}^{j}$ accordingly as well as the sets $\Pi_{i}$.
At the end of the repeated applications of FFC we will either have discovered a contradiction $\left(\pi_{v}=\emptyset\right.$ for some vertex $\left.v\right)$ or obtained for each vertex $v$ a set $\pi_{v}$ with $\left|\pi_{v}\right| \geq 2$.

Theorem 3.12 If $G$ is a tree and $\mathcal{P}$ a family of chains of $G$ satisfying $\left|P_{i} \bigcap P_{f}\right| \leq$ 1 for any $i, f \leq p(i \neq f)$, then $\Lambda(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$ can be solved in polynomial time.

Proof: We start by applying the FFC procedure; it may happen that one has to remove some vertices with forced colors; in such a case we get a forest and we apply the procedure on each connected component separately.
Wlog we consider a tree $G$ and we construct a canonical order $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{p}$ of the chains of $\mathcal{P}$. Since we apply procedure FFC until there are no more forced colors and neither forbidden colors, we have the following:

Fact 3.1 If in a chain $P_{i}$ a single arbitrary vertex $v$ has been given a possible color $c \in \pi_{v}$, there exists an assignment of possible colors $c(w) \in \pi_{w}$ to all remaining vertices $w$ of $P_{i}$ such that $P_{i}$ has exactly $h_{i}^{j}$ vertices of color $j(1 \leq$ $j \leq k$ ).

It is then possible to color the vertices of $G$ by considering the chains $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{p}$ in the canonical order (starting from any vertex of $P_{1}$ ). Clearly we will be able to extend the coloring to all vertices of $G$ since, having colored the vertices of $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{i}$, the chain $P_{i+1}$ has exactly one vertex which is already colored (with a color in $\pi_{v}$ ).

The whole procedure is polynomial:
FFC consists of applying for each chain $P_{i}$ a maximum flow algorithm in a bipartite network with $\left|P_{i}\right|$ vertices on the left and $k$ vertices on the right. To find the forbidden colors and the forced colors, we have to find at most $\left|P_{i}\right| k$ times an augmenting chain (this takes $O\left(\left|P_{i}\right| k\right)$ time); globally we have a complexity $O\left(\left(\left|P_{i}\right| k\right)^{2}\right)$ for getting the forbidden colors and the forced colors. For a maximum flow we have $O\left(\left(\left|P_{i}\right|+k\right)^{3}\right)$ (see [1]). Hence an application of FFC has a complexity $O\left(\left(\left|P_{i}\right|+k\right)^{3}+\left(\left|P_{i}\right| k\right)^{2}\right)$. Since we apply FFC at most $|V| k$ times, we have $O\left(\left(\left(\left|P_{i}\right|+k\right)^{3}+\left(\left|P_{i}\right| k\right)^{2}\right)|V| k\right)$ and since $\left|P_{i}\right| \leq|V|$ we have finally $O\left(\left((|V|+k)^{3}+(|V| k)^{2}\right)|V| k\right)$.

Proposition 3.1 If $G$ is a cycle and if the family $\mathcal{P}$ is such that $\left|P_{i} \cap P_{f}\right| \leq 1$ for any $i, f \leq p$ with $i \neq f$, then $\Lambda(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$ can be solved in polynomial time.

Proof: We take a consecutive numbering of the chains $P_{i}$ as in the case where $G$ is a tree so that $\left|P_{i} \bigcap P_{i+1}\right|=1$ for all $i \leq p-1$ and in addition $\left|P_{p} \bigcap P_{1}\right|=1$; let $v_{0} \in P_{p} \bigcap P_{1}$.
We simply consider the following problems $O_{j}$ (for $j=1, \ldots, k$ ): find a feasible coloring such that $v_{0}$ has color $j$.
This amounts to removing $v_{0}$ and updating the $h_{i}^{j}$ accordingly; this is simply $\Lambda\left(G-v_{0}, k, \mathcal{P}^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right)$ where $G-v_{0}$ is a chain.

More generally if $G$ is a cactus, i.e., a connected graph where any two cycles have at most one common vertex, then we can proceed as for a tree in the following special case: let us assume that each $P_{i}$ belongs to exactly one cycle (or to a chain not contained in a cycle). Each cycle $C$ has some vertices which may belong to other cycles or to external chains; we shall assume that all these vertices are necessarily endpoints of chains $P_{i}$.
It is not difficult to see that we can number the $P_{i}$ 's in $\mathcal{P}$ in such a way that for all $f \leq p\left|P_{f} \bigcap\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{f-1} P_{i}\right)\right| \leq 1$ (except for the last $P_{i}$ 's which "close" a cycle in $G$ ).
We can work separatly on each cycle $C$ and determine the possible colors for the last vertex, i.e. the vertex connecting $C$ to some cycle or some external chain covered by chains $P_{i}$ with smaller indices.
We proceed as in the case of trees by applying an FFC procedure first and then, in case no contradiction has occurred, we will be in the situation where we have either a single $P_{i}$ (contained in an external chain) to color where exactly one vertex is already colored or we reach a cycle (with exactly one vertex already colored). In the first case we proceed as before and in the second one, we have that the cycle can be colored by extending the coloring from the vertex which has been colored and we continue. This will finally color the whole graph.
As in the case of trees, the procedure will give a feasible coloring or exhibit a contradiction.

## 4 Proper colorings

Having discussed $\Lambda(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$ we shall examine the case where the $k$-partition is a proper $k$-coloring $\left(\Lambda^{*}(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)\right)$.

Here we shall assume that for every edge $e=[x, y]$ in $G$, there is a chain $\mu_{i}$ which uses $e$; this implies in particular $\{x, y\} \subset P_{i}$. This assumption is not restrictive: let $e=[x, y]$ be an edge which is not covered by any $\mu_{i}$ in the collection defined in $\Lambda^{*}(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$. We replace $e$ by a chain $\mu_{e}=$ $\left(x_{e}^{1}=x, u_{e}^{1}, x_{e}^{2}, u_{e}^{2}, \ldots, x_{e}^{k-1}, u_{e}^{k-1}, x_{e}^{k}=y\right)$ where $x_{e}^{2}, \ldots, x_{e}^{k-1}$ are new vertices and $u_{e}^{1}, \ldots, u_{e}^{k-1}$ are new edges; we set $P_{e}=\left\{x_{e}^{1}, \ldots, x_{e}^{k}\right\}$ and $h_{e}^{j}=1$ for $j=1, \ldots, k$. Clearly there is a proper $k$-coloring of the resulting graph $G^{*}$ which is solution of $\Lambda^{*}\left(G^{*}, k, \mathcal{P}, H\right)$ if there is a proper $k$-coloring which is solution of $\Lambda^{*}(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$ because $x$ and $y$ will necessarily get different colors in any feasible coloring of $G^{*}$.

### 4.1 Solvable cases of proper colorings

Let us now consider some cases for which polynomial time algorithms can be found.

Fact 4.1 $\Lambda^{*}(G, 2, \mathcal{P}, H)$ is polynomially solvable.
Justification : notice that in each $P_{i}$ with odd $\left|P_{i}\right|$, the vertices have necessarily forced colors. So we can assume that there are only paths of even length and each vertex may be colored with color 1 or 2 . The problem then consists in verifying whether the graph is bipartite or not, which can be done in polynomial time.

We obtain from Theorem 3.12 and its proof:
Corollary 4.1 $\Lambda^{*}(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$ can be solved in polynomial time if $G$ is a tree, $\mathcal{P}$ is such that $\left|P_{i} \cap P_{f}\right| \leq 1$ for $i, f \leq p(i \neq f)$ and $h_{i}^{j} \leq 1$ for all $i \leq p, j \leq k$.

From now on we will have to consider repeatedly proper $k$-colorings of chains $P_{i}$ of $G$ (with possibly $k>2$ and with $h_{i}^{j}$ occurrences of color $j$ in chain $\left.P_{i}\right)$. So we will start by stating some elementary properties of such colorings.

We recall that a color $j$ is saturating in a chain $P$ if $h^{j}=\left\lceil\frac{|P|}{2}\right\rceil$. The set of colors $j$ such that $h^{j}>0$ will be denoted by $\Pi$.

Remark 4.1 If $P$ is an odd chain with a saturating color $a$, then a occurs necessarily at both endpoints of $P$ in any coloring.

Remark 4.2 If $P$ is an even chain with a saturating color $a$, then a occurs necessarily at least at one endpoint of $P$ in any coloring.

Lemma 4.1 Let $P$ be a chain to be colored and assume there is no saturating color in $\Pi$. For any two colors $e, d$ in $\Pi$, one can find a proper $k$-coloring of $P$ where $e$ and $d$ occur at the endpoints of $P$. In case $h^{d} \geq 2$, we can have a coloring with $d$ occurring at both endpoints.

Proof: Let $P=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and let $d, e$ be the colors which have to occur at the ends. Assume first that $n$ is even. Start from the left, assigning $h^{d}$ times color $d$ to vertices $1,3 \ldots, 2 h^{d}-1$ and from the right, assign $h^{e}$ times color $e$ to vertices $n, n-2, \ldots, n-2\left(h^{e}-1\right)$. It remains $\max \left\{0, n-2 h^{e}-2 h^{d}+2\right\}$ adjacent vertices in the center. We can find $\max \left\{0, \frac{n}{2}-h^{e}-h^{d}+1\right\}$ non adjacent vertices among them. Together with the vertices $2,4, \ldots, 2 h^{d}-2$ and $n-1, n-3, n-2 h^{e}+3$, this gives $\frac{n}{2}-1$ non adjacent vertices.
If $n$ is odd, we choose a color $f \neq d, e$ (which exists since there is no saturating color). We color vertex $n$ with $e$ and we decrease $h^{e}$ by one. Then we apply the previous coloring, with color $f$ replacing color $e$, to $P^{\prime}=P-\{n\}$; this will give a proper coloring of $P$ since vertex $n-1$ has color $f$ and vertex $n$ has color $e$. Finally we start by coloring the non adjacent vertices with the remaining colors. If $h^{e}+h^{d}-1 \geq \frac{n}{2}$, then all uncolored vertices are nonadjacent and the coloring can be completed. In the other case ( $h^{e}+h^{d}-1<\frac{n}{2}$ ), we have an interval $I$ of $n-2 h^{e}-2 h^{d}+2$ consecutive uncolored vertices in the center. We color the remaining vertices in the order $2 h^{d}, 2 h^{d}+2, \ldots, n-2 h^{e}, n-2 h^{e}+3, \ldots, n-$ $1,2,4, \ldots, 2 h^{d}-2,2 h^{d}+1,2 h^{d}+3, \ldots, n-2 h^{e}+1$ exhausting one color before taking the next one. Since there is no saturating color we will get a proper coloring of the chain.

To obtain a coloring with $d$ occurring on 1 and $n$, consider $P^{\prime}=P-\{n\}$ and $\left(h^{d}\right)^{\prime}=h^{d}-1$. Apply the coloring algorithm to $P^{\prime}$ with colors $d, e$. Clearly vertex $n-1$ will not have color $d$ and we can color vertex $n$ with $d$ to get the required proper coloring of $P$.

Lemma 4.2 If $P$ is an even chain with exactly one saturating color a, one can choose any color $b$ and construct $a$ coloring of $P$ such that $a$ and $b$ are occurring at the endpoints.

Proof: Assume first $b \neq a$. Color the nodes $1,3,5, \ldots,|P|-1$ with color $a$ and color the nodes $|P|,|P|-2, \ldots, 2$ with the remaining colors starting with color $b$.

If $b=a$, then color $a$ occurs at both ends: we color nodes $1,3,5, \ldots,|P|-3$ and $|P|$ with color $a$. Since there are no other saturating color, we can color the nodes $|P|-2,|P|-4, \ldots, 2,|P|-1$ with the remaining colors and no conflict will occur.

We shall say that the singletons $P_{i}$ in $\mathcal{P}$ have the $C S$ property (Consecutive Singletons) if the following holds: if a singleton $P_{i}$ is an intermediate vertex of some $P_{c}=\left\{x_{c}^{1}, x_{c}^{2}, \ldots, x_{c}^{r}=P_{i}, x_{c}^{r+1}, \ldots, x_{c}^{s}\right\}$ then either $x_{c}^{1}, \ldots, x_{c}^{r-1}$ or $x_{c}^{r+1}, \ldots, x_{c}^{s}$ are also singletons in $\mathcal{P}$.

Proposition 4.1 Let $G$ be a chain. For any $\Lambda^{*}(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$ with $\left|P_{i} \bigcap P_{f}\right| \leq 1$ $\forall i \neq f(i, f \leq p)$ and where all singletons $P_{i}$ have the CS property, there is an equivalent problem $\Lambda^{*}\left(G^{*}, k, \mathcal{P}^{*}, H^{*}\right)$ where the family $\mathcal{P}^{*}$ satisfies:
(a) $\left|P_{i}^{*}\right| \geq 2$
(b) $\left|P_{i}^{*} \bigcap P_{i+1}^{*}\right|=1\left(i<p^{*}\right)$ and $P_{i}^{*} \bigcap P_{f}^{*}=\emptyset(i \notin\{f-1, f, f+1\})$

Here "equivalent" means that one problem has a solution iff the other one has a solution.

Proof: Assuming that the vertices are given in increasing order of numbering along the chain, we can say that a chain $P_{i}$ starts at some vertex $x_{d}$ (or ends at some vertex $x_{e}$ ) if $d$ is the smallest ( $e$ is the largest) index in $P_{i}$.

Now consider a chain $P_{c}=\left\{x_{c}^{1}, \ldots, x_{c}^{r}, x_{c}^{r+1}, \ldots, x_{c}^{s}\right\}$ where $x_{c}^{1}, \ldots, x_{c}^{r}$ are singletons $P_{c}^{1}, \ldots, P_{c}^{r}$ in $\mathcal{P}$. We remove $x_{c}^{1}, \ldots, x_{c}^{r}$ and replace $P_{c}$ by $P_{c}^{*}=\left\{x_{c}^{r+1}, y^{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.y^{k-2}\right\}$ with $h\left(P_{c}^{*}\right)=(1, \ldots, 1,0,1, \ldots, 1)$ where the missing color is the color of $x_{c}^{r}$ and $y^{1}, \ldots, y^{k-2}$ are new vertices. We also introduce $P_{c}^{* *}=\left\{x_{c}^{r+1}, \ldots, x_{c}^{s}\right\}$ with updated values of $h_{i}^{j}$ according to the colors already assigned to $x_{c}^{1}, \ldots, x_{c}^{r}$. Similarly, if there is a chain $P_{d}=\left\{x_{d}^{1}, \ldots, x_{d}^{t}=x_{c}^{1}\right\}$ ending at vertex $x_{c}^{1}$ we replace it by a chain $P_{d}^{*}=P_{d}-x_{c}^{1}=\left\{x_{d}^{1}, \ldots, x_{d}^{t-1}\right\}$ and introduce $P_{d}^{\prime}=\left\{x_{d}^{t-1}, z^{1}, \ldots, z^{k-2}\right\}$ with $h\left(P_{d}^{\prime}=(1, \ldots, 1,0,1, \ldots, 1)\right.$ where the missing color is the color of $x_{c}^{1}$. We update the values $h_{i}^{j}$ accordingly. Then we have an equivalent problem since $x_{d}^{t}$ will not get the color of $x_{c}^{1}$ and $x_{c}^{r+1}$ will not get the color of $x_{c}^{r}$. So we have cut the problem into two subchains and singletons in $P_{c}$ have been removed. By repeating this we get an equivalent problem with all $P_{i}$ 's verifying $\left|P_{i}\right| \geq 2$.

Theorem 4.1 If $G$ is a chain and $\mathcal{P}$ is such that $\left|P_{i} \cap P_{f}\right| \leq 1 \forall i \neq f(i, f \leq p)$, then $\Lambda^{*}(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$ can be solved in polynomial time.

Proof: As already remarked, we can assume that $k \geq 3$.
Wlog we can assume that $\mathcal{P}$ satisfies the conditions given in Proposition 4.1. Consider now the problem $\Lambda^{*}(G, k, P, H)$. To solve it we use a procedure similar to the one used for $\Lambda(G, k, P, H)$. If any contradiction occurs during the following forced assignments then there is no solution.

- Whenever a vertex $v \in P_{i} \cap P_{i+1}$ is assigned some color $j$ we update the parameters as follows: $h_{i}^{j} \leftarrow h_{i}^{j}-1 ; h_{i+1}^{j} \leftarrow h_{i+1}^{j}-1$; if $h_{i}^{j}=0$ then set $\Pi_{i} \leftarrow \Pi_{i}-\{j\}$; if $h_{i}^{j+1}=0$ then set $\Pi_{i+1} \leftarrow \Pi_{i+1}-\{j\}$.
- If there exists $1 \leq i<p$ such that $\Pi_{i} \cap \Pi_{i+1}=\emptyset$, then there is no solution.
- If there exists $1 \leq i<p$ such that $\left|\Pi_{i} \bigcap \Pi_{i+1}\right|=1$ then color $P_{i} \bigcap P_{i+1}$ with the common color.
- For each odd $P_{i}$ with a saturating color, say $j$, assign color $j$ to both endpoints of $P_{i}$.
- For each even $P_{i}$ with a saturating color, say $j, j$ must be assigned to one of the endpoints of $P_{i}$. For $1<i<p$,
if $j \notin \Pi_{i-1} \cup \Pi_{i+1}$ then there is no solution
if $j \notin \Pi_{i-1}$ then assign color $j$ to $P_{i} \bigcap P_{i+1}$
if $j \notin \Pi_{i+1}$ then assign color $j$ to $P_{i} \bigcap P_{i-1}$.
For any colored vertex, propagate the possible implications of this coloring to the previous and next intersections in the following way; if any contradiction occurs, there is no solution.

Assume that $v \in P_{i} \bigcap P_{i+1}$ has been colored with $j$ :

- if $l \neq j$ is a saturating color of $P_{i}$ (resp. $P_{i+1}$ ) then color the left (resp. right) endpoint of $P_{i}$ (resp. $P_{i+1}$ ) with $l$,
- if $\left|\Pi_{i} \bigcap \Pi_{i-1}\right|=1(i>1)$ (resp. $\left.\left|\Pi_{i+1} \bigcap \Pi_{i+2}\right|=1(i<p-2)\right)$ assign the unique color $l$ such that $h_{i}^{l} \geq 1$ and $h_{i-1}^{l} \geq 1$ (resp. $h_{i+1}^{l} \geq 1$ and $h_{i+1}^{l} \geq 1$ ) to $P_{i} \bigcap P_{i-1}$ (resp. $P_{i+1} \bigcap P_{i+2}$ ).

At this step, if no contradiction occurred, we have a set of colored vertices located at intersections of chains $P_{i}$. In addition, any pair $\left\{P_{i}, P_{i+1}\right\}(i<p)$ such that $P_{i} \bigcap P_{i+1}$ is uncolored verifies $\left|\Pi_{i} \bigcap \Pi_{i+1}\right| \geq 2$ and if $j$ is a color saturating $P_{i}$ then $j \in \Pi_{i-1} \bigcap \Pi_{i} \bigcap \Pi_{i+1} 1<i<p$.

Moreover, if one endpoint of $P_{i}(1 \leq i \leq p)$ is already colored, any color remaining in $\Pi_{i}$ is compatible with it and can be used to color the other endpoint; if $P_{i}$ has a saturating color it is the one already assigned.

The problem has a solution which can be obtained in two more steps:
A) First we assign a color to all uncolored intersection $P_{i} \bigcap P_{i+1}(i<p)$, in the following way: Let $P_{i} \bigcap P_{i+1}$ be the first uncolored intersection in $G$ : color $P_{i} \bigcap P_{i+1}$ with any color $j \in \Pi_{i} \bigcap \Pi_{i+1}$. If $i=1$, color the first endpoint of $P_{i}$ with any allowed color. If $P_{i+1} \bigcap P_{i+2}$ is uncolored $(i+1<p)$ then there is at least one color different from $j$ in $\Pi_{i+1} \bigcap \Pi_{i+2}$; if there is a saturating color $l$ in $P_{i+1}$ and if $l \neq j$ then assign color $l$ to $P_{i+1} \bigcap P_{i+2}$ (we are sure that $l \in \Pi_{i+1} \bigcap \Pi_{i+2}$ ) otherwise choose any color in $\Pi_{i+1} \bigcap \Pi_{i+2}$. Propagate the implications of each coloring until we reach a vertex already colored. Then search for the following uncolored intersection and continue the process until the end of $G$.
B) Clearly the partial coloring obtained so far is such that for every chain the saturating colors are assigned to endpoints in such a way that according to Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, the coloring can be extended to all yet uncolored vertices.

Remark 4.3 One should mention that Theorem 4.1 can be extended to trees where $\mathcal{P}$ is such that in every $P_{i}$ only the 'first' and 'last' vertices may belong to another $P_{j}$.

Remark $4.4 \Lambda^{*}(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$ can be solved in polynomial time if $\left|P_{i}\right|=2$ for all $i=1, \ldots, p$. Since $\left|P_{i}\right|=2$ for each $i$, each edge is a $P_{i}$ and there are exactly two possible colorings for each $P_{i}$. We take the first coloring of $P_{1}$; we propagate this coloring and if we obtain a proper coloring of $G$, we are done. Else we have a conflict; we then reverse the coloring of $P_{1}$ and propagate this coloring as before and we will find a coloring of $G$ or a conflict. In the last case, there is no solution.

### 4.2 Difficult cases of proper colorings

Theorem $4.2 \Lambda^{*}(G, 3, \mathcal{P}, H)$ is $N P$-complete in trees with maximum degree 3 .
Proof: We use the construction in the proof of Theorem 3.4 and introduce a new vertex on each edge of the tree; we force these new vertices to have color 3.

Theorem $4.3 \Lambda^{*}(G, 3, \mathcal{P}, H)$ is $N P$-complete even if $G$ is planar bipartite, $\left|P_{i} \bigcap P_{f}\right| \leq 1(i, f \leq p, i \neq f),\left|P_{i}\right| \leq 3(i \leq p)$ and $h_{i}^{j} \leq 1, i=1, \ldots, p$, $j=1,2,3$.

Proof: We use a transformation from the $N P$-complete problem $\operatorname{PrExt}$ which is defined as follows:

INSTANCE: A positive integer $q$ and a graph $G$ in which some vertices are precolored using at most $q$ colors.

QUESTION: Can the precoloring of $G$ be extended to a proper coloring of $G$ using at most $q$ colors?

This problem is proven to be $N P$-complete even if $q=3$ and $G$ is planar bipartite (see [14]).
Consider a planar bipartite graph $G=(X, Y, E)$. Suppose that some of its vertices are precolored using colors 1,2 and 3 . For each precolored vertex $x$, we set $P_{x}=\{x\}$ and $h\left(P_{x}\right)=(1,0,0)$ if $x$ has color $1, h\left(P_{x}\right)=(0,1,0)$ if $x$ has color 2 and $h\left(P_{x}\right)=(0,0,1)$ if $x$ has color 3. For each edge $e=[x, y]$ in $G$, we add a new vertex $z_{e}$ and a new edge $\left[x, z_{e}\right]$. We set $P_{e}=\left\{x, y, z_{e}\right\}$ and $h\left(P_{e}\right)=(1,1,1)$.
Clearly our new graph $G^{\prime}$ is still planar bipartite. Furthermore $\left|P_{i} \cap P_{f}\right| \leq 1$ $(i, f \leq p, i \neq f),\left|P_{i}\right| \leq 3(i \leq p)$ and $h_{i}^{j} \leq 1, i=1, \ldots, p, j=1,2,3$.
It is easy to see that $\operatorname{Pr} E x t$ has a solution in $G$ if and only if $\Lambda^{*}\left(G^{\prime}, 3, \mathcal{P}, H\right)$ has a solution in $G^{\prime}$.

## 5 Edge colorings

We consider now edge colorings instead of vertex colorings; we may in a similar way define problem $\Psi(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$ where $\mathcal{P}$ is a collection of $p$ subsets $P_{i}$ of edges
of $G$ and we want to find a $k$-partition $E^{1}, E^{2}, \ldots, E^{k}$ of $E$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{i} \bigcap E^{j}\right|=h_{i}^{j} \quad \text { for all } i \leq p \text { and all } j \leq k \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we want to find a proper edge $k$-coloring then the problem will be denoted by $\Psi^{*}(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$.

In general the subsets $P_{i}$ of edges will be chains (open or closed). $\left|P_{i}\right|$ will be the number of edges in chain $P_{i}$.

Clearly problems $\Psi$ and $\Psi^{*}$ in a graph $G$ are equivalent to problems $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda^{*}$ in $L(G)$ where $L(G)$ is the line graph of $G$ (edges of $G$ become vertices of $L(G))$.

It follows that when $G$ is itself a chain, then $L(G)$ is also a chain and the results for $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda^{*}$ also apply to the edge coloring case.

### 5.1 Arbitrary colorings

In this situation every edge $e$ which is not included in some $P_{i}$ may clearly be removed from $G$. So we can assume wlog that every $e$ is in some $P_{i}$ of $\mathcal{P}$.

Theorem 5.1 $\Psi(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$ can be solved in polynomial time if $\left|P_{i}\right| \leq 2$ for each chain $P_{i} \in \mathcal{P}$.

Proof: This follows directly from the proof of Theorem 3.10. After reduction we transform the graph as follows: each edge becomes a vertex and we link two vertices if there is a $P_{i}$ containing the corresponding edges. The problem has a solution if and only if there is no odd cycle in this graph.

Theorem 5.2 $\Psi(G, 2, \mathcal{P}, H)$ is $N P$-complete even if $G$ is a tree $T$ with maximum degree 3 and the $P_{i}$ 's are chains or bundles.

Proof: We use the same reduction from $O N E-I N-T H R E E 3 S A T$ as in Theorem 3.4.
We have to color edges instead of vertices; the leaf variables now correspond to leaf edges and for each clause $c_{h}$ we now have for the sets $P_{h}^{\prime}$ bundles of edges $y, z$ and $x$ (see figure $4(\mathrm{a})$ ) if the clause is given by $c_{h}=x_{i} \vee x_{j} \vee x_{k}$. We set $h\left(P_{h}^{\prime}\right)=(2,1)$. The set $P_{h}^{\prime \prime}$ is now the bundle $y, u, t$ with $h\left(P_{h}^{\prime \prime}\right)=(1,2)$ and the set $P_{h}=\{x\}$ with $h\left(P_{h}\right)=(1,0)$. The other chains $P_{i}^{r}$ are defined similarly.

If we require all $P_{i}$ 's to be exclusively chains in $G$ (but not bundles) we can derive the following for a special cactus in which no two cycles have a common vertex (see [6] for additional properties of cacti).

Theorem 5.3 $\Psi(G, 2, \mathcal{P}, H)$ is $N P$-complete even if $G$ is a triangulated cactus with maximum degree 3 and where all the $P_{i}$ 's are chains.


Figure 4: Transformation of bundle constraints for a tree into chain constraints in a cactus

Proof: We just have to show how the bundle requirements can be transformed into constraints related to chains.
We transform the clause gadget $c_{h}$ as shown in figure 4(b). The cactus obtained in this way is triangulated (its cycles are triangles).
The bundle $P^{\prime}=\{x, y, z\}$ with $h\left(P^{\prime}\right)=(2,1)$ becomes chains $P_{*}^{\prime}=\left\{x, y, z_{2}, z_{1}\right\}$, $P_{* *}^{\prime}=\left\{z_{2}\right\}$ with $h\left(P_{*}^{\prime}\right)=(2,2), h\left(P_{* *}^{\prime}\right)=(0,1)$.
The bundle $P^{\prime \prime}=\{y, u, t\}$ with $h\left(P^{\prime \prime}\right)=(1,2)$ becomes chains $P_{*}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{y, w, u, t_{2}, t_{1}\right\}$, $P_{* *}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{w, t_{1}\right\}$ with $h\left(P_{*}^{\prime \prime}\right)=(1,4)$ and $h\left(P_{* *}^{\prime \prime}\right)=(0,2)$.
Finally the $P_{i}$ 's using chains between $v$ and edges $u$ and $t$ can also be replaced by chains in the new gadget $c_{h}^{\prime}$ with appropriate modifications of the values $h_{i}^{j}$.

### 5.2 Proper colorings

Theorem 5.4 $\Psi^{*}(G, 3, \mathcal{P}, H)$ is $N P$-complete when $G$ is 3 -regular, $\mathcal{P}$ is a collection of vertex disjoint triangles $P_{i}$ considered as sets of edges (i.e. $\left|P_{i}\right|=$ $3, \forall i=1, \ldots, p, P_{i} \bigcap P_{f}=\emptyset$ for all $\left.i, f, i \neq f\right)$ and $h_{i}^{j}=1, \forall i=1, \ldots, p, \forall j=$ $1,2,3$.

Proof: We use a transformation from edge 3-coloring of a 3-regular graph $G^{\prime}$. This problem is known to be $N P$-complete [13].
For each vertex $i$ adjacent to vertices $f, l, p$, we introduce in $G$ the vertices $v_{i f}, v_{i l}, v_{i p}$. These three vertices are pairwise linked forming a triangle which will correspond to $P_{i}$. Thus $G$ will have $3|V|$ vertices. For each edge $[i, f]$ in $G^{\prime}$ we introduce an edge $\left[v_{i f}, v_{f i}\right]$ in $G$.

We take $p=\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|$ and $\mathcal{P}=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{p}\right\}$ with $h_{i}^{j}=1$ for $i=1, \ldots, p$ and $j=1,2,3$. Notice that the $P_{i}$ 's form closed chains.
There is an edge 3 -coloring of $G^{\prime}$ iff there is an edge 3-coloring of $G$. The edges of $E(G)$ are colored as follows:

1. for each edge $[i, f]$ of $G^{\prime}$ with colour $k$, the corresponding edge $\left[v_{i f}, v_{f i}\right]$ in $G$ has colour $k$;
2. the three edges forming a triangle $P_{i}$ can be coloured with three colours by extending the colouring obtained after the previous stage.
Finally, note that any edge 3-coloring of $G$ will satisfy the requirements on the sets $P_{i}$.

Theorem 5.5 $\Psi^{*}(G, 3, \mathcal{P}, H)$ is $N P$-complete when $G$ is a bipartite 3-regular graph and $\mathcal{P}$ is a family of chains $P_{i}$ of length two which are pairwise non adjacent.

Proof: Let us call SIM (for simultaneity requirements) the following problem: we are given a 3 -regular bipartite simple graph $G$ with two subsets $\mathcal{S}_{1}, \mathcal{S}_{2}$ of edges such that $\mathcal{S}_{1} \cap \mathcal{S}_{2}=\emptyset$ and the edges of $\mathcal{S}_{i}$ are pairwise non adjacent for $i=1,2$.

Does there exist an edge 3-coloring $\left(M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}\right)$ of $G$ such that $M_{1} \supseteq \mathcal{S}_{1}$, $M_{2} \supseteq \mathcal{S}_{2}$ ?

SIM was shown to be $N P$-complete in [10]. We use a reduction from SIM as follows: from $G=(V, E)$ with subsets $\mathcal{S}_{1}, \mathcal{S}_{2}$ we construct a simple graph $G^{*}$ by replacing each edge $e=[x, y]$ in $\mathcal{S}_{i}$ by the graph given in Figure 5. We set $P_{e}=\left\{\left[x_{e}^{\prime \prime}, y_{e}^{\prime}\right],\left[y_{e}^{\prime}, x_{e}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right]\right\}$ with $h_{e}^{1}=0, h_{e}^{2}=h_{e}^{3}=1$ for $i=1$ or with $h_{e}^{1}=1, h_{e}^{2}=0, h_{e}^{3}=1$ for $i=2$. Note that in any solution of $\Psi^{*}\left(G^{*}, 3, \mathcal{P}, H\right)$ the edge $\left[x_{e}^{\prime}, y\right]$ will get the same color as $\left[x, y_{e}^{\prime}\right]$.


Figure 5: Transformation of $G$ where edge $e=[x, y]$ is precolored into $G^{*}$
$G^{*}$ is a 3 -regular bipartite simple graph; it has an edge 3-coloring satisfying the requirements on each $P_{e}$ if and only if $G$ has an edge 3-coloring where each edge $e$ in $\mathcal{S}_{i}$ has color $i$ for $i=1,2$.

Theorem 5.6 $\Psi^{*}(G, 3, \mathcal{P}, H)$ is $N P$-complete when $G$ is a planar bipartite graph with maximum degree $\Delta(G) \leq 3$ and $\mathcal{P}$ is a family of chains $P_{i}$ of length 2.

Proof: We shall use a transformation from the precoloring extension problem on edges which is shown to be $N P$-complete even for planar, 3-regular bipartite graphs [17].
Let $G^{\prime}=(X \cup Y, E)$ be a planar 3-regular bipartite graph in which some edges are precolored using colors 1,2 and 3 . For each vertex $i \in X \cup Y$ incident to two precolored edges, color the third edge with the remaining color (if there is a contradiction, the problem has no solution). For each vertex $i \in X \cup Y$ incident to one precolored edge $[i, f]$, take $P_{i}=\{[i, l],[i, p]\}$ where $l, p$ are the endpoints of the two uncolored edges incident to $i$. If $[i, f]$ has color $j \in\{1,2,3\}$, take $h_{i}^{j}=0, h_{i}^{q}=1, q \neq j, q \in\{1,2,3\}$. Delete the precolored edges. We get a planar bipartite graph $G$ with maximum degree $\Delta(G) \leq 3$ and $\mathcal{P}$ is a family of chains $P_{i}$ of length 2.
It is clear that the precoloring extension problem on the edges of $G^{\prime}$ has a positive answer if and only if $\Psi^{*}(G, 3, \mathcal{P}, H)$ has a positive answer. As $G$ can be obtained from $G^{\prime}$ in polynomial time, we proved that our problem is $N P$ complete.

## 6 Summary and conclusion

We have studied an extension of the basic image reconstruction problem of discrete tomography. The complexity status of some variations has been determined; the results are summarized in Table 1 for $\Lambda(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$. Then Table 2 presents the results for the case of proper colorings $\left(\Lambda^{*}(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)\right)$.

| $G$ | $k$ | $\left\|P_{i}\right\|$ | $h_{i}^{j}$ | $\left\|P_{i} \cap P_{f}\right\|$ |  | Status | Theorem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 |  |  |  | $c(\mathcal{P})=2$ | $P$ | 3.6 |
|  | 2 |  |  |  | $\operatorname{Nest}(\mathcal{P})=2$ | $P$ | 3.7 |
|  | 2 |  |  |  | $\left\|P_{i} \cap P_{f}\right\| \leq 2$ <br> $i \neq f$ | $P$ | 3.8 |
| dir. tree | 2 |  |  |  | $P_{i}:$ oriented <br> path | $P$ | 3.9 |
|  |  | $\leq 2$ |  |  |  | $P$ | 3.10 |
| tree |  |  |  | $\leq 1$ |  | $P$ | 3.12 |
| cactus |  |  |  | $\leq 1$ |  | $P$ | Prop. 3.1 |
|  | 2 | 3 |  |  | $\mathcal{P} 3$-regular | $N P C$ | 3.1 |
|  | 2 |  |  |  | Nest $(\mathcal{P})=3$ <br>  <br> bipartite | 2 |  |
| tree | 2 |  |  |  |  | $N P C$ | 3.2 |
| tree | 2 | $\leq 4$ | $\leq 3$ | $\leq 2$ | $\operatorname{diameter} \leq 4$ | $N P C$ | 3.5 |
|  | 3 | 3 | 1 |  | $c(\mathcal{P})=2$ | $N P C$ | 3.11 |

Table 1: Summary of the results for $\Lambda(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$.

| $G$ | $k$ | $\left\|P_{i}\right\|$ | $h_{i}^{j}$ | $\left\|P_{i} \cap P_{f}\right\|$ |  | Status | Theorem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  | $P$ | Fact 4.1 |
|  |  | 2 |  | $\leq 1$ |  | $P$ | Rem. 4.4 |
| tree |  |  | $\leq 1$ | $\leq 1$ |  | $P$ | Cor. 4.1 |
| chain |  |  |  | $\leq 1$ |  | $P$ | 4.1 |
| tree | 3 |  |  |  | $\Delta(G) \leq 3$ | $N P C$ | 4.2 |
| bipartite <br> planar | 3 | $\leq 3$ | $\leq 1$ | $\leq 1$ |  | $N P C$ | 4.3 |

Table 2: Summary of the results for $\Lambda^{*}(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$.
Finally for edge $k$-colorings, Table 3 (resp. Table 4) shows the status of some problems for arbitrary edge $k$-colorings, i.e. for $\Psi(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$ (resp. for proper edge $k$-colorings, i.e. for $\Psi^{*}(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$ ).

| $G$ | $k$ | $\left\|P_{i}\right\|$ | $h_{i}^{j}$ | $\left\|P_{i} \cap P_{f}\right\|$ |  | Status | Theorem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\leq 2$ |  |  |  | $P$ | 5.1 |
| tree | 2 |  |  |  | $P_{i}:$ chain or <br> bundle; $\Delta(G) \leq 3$ | $N P C$ | 5.2 |
| cactus | 2 |  |  |  | $\Delta(G)=3 ;$ <br> $G$ triangulated | $N P C$ | 5.3 |

Table 3: Summary of the results for $\Psi(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$.

| $G$ | $k$ | $\left\|P_{i}\right\|$ | $h_{i}^{j}$ | $\left\|P_{i} \bigcap P_{f}\right\|$ |  | Status | Theorem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | $G$ 3-regular; <br> $P_{i}:$ triangle | $N P C$ | 5.4 |
| bipartite | 3 | 2 |  | 0 | $G$ 3-regular | $N P C$ | 5.5 |
| bipartite <br> planar | 3 | $\leq 2$ |  |  | $\Delta(G) \leq 3 ;$ | $N P C$ | 5.6 |

Table 4: Summary of the results for $\Psi^{*}(G, k, \mathcal{P}, H)$.
There are more cases to examine and it would in particular be interesting to consider a family $\mathcal{P}$ of chains with less restrictive hypotheses in some special classes of graphs. But the results obtained here seem to show that the problems become difficult even in very simple cases.
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